Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/070,705	TAMAKI ET AL.			
	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Thoi V Duong	. 2871			
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO	personnel):				
(1) Thoi V Duong.	(3) Takehiro Mitsui.				
(2) Michael E. Monaco.	(4) <i>TJY</i>				
Date of Interview: 30 November 2004.					
Type: a)☐ Telephonic b)☐ Video Conference c)⊠ Personal [copy given to: 1)☐ applicant 2	r)⊡ applicant's representative	·]			
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)⊠ No.				
Claim(s) discussed: <u>9</u> .					
Identification of prior art discussed: Maeda, Kita and Moon.					
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g	)⊠ was not reached. h)  N	/A.			
Substance of Interview including description of the general reached, or any other comments: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .	nature of what was agreed to	if an agreement was			
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amend allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no callowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached	opy of the amendments that w				
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE A INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse si	last Office action has already THE MAILING DATE OF THIS OF THE SUBSTANCE OF TH	been filed, APPLICANT IS S INTERVIEW SUMMARY			

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-413 (Rev. 04-03)

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required

Application No. 10/070,705

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicants' representatives identified many features disclosed in the figures that may distinguish over the cited references. For example, the lip located only on a single side of the frame and/or on the light source side of the device may distinguish. Also, the opposing pair of tabs holding the frame and holding members may distinguish. A clarification of the claims to distinguish the claimed frame and holding members from the upper and lower bodies of Maeda was also discussed .

Docket No: 220334US-2 PCT

Applicant Initi	ated Intervie	w Request	For	m						
Application No.: 10/070,705 First Nar	med Applicant:	Tamaki Yasu	yuki					·		
Examiner: Doung Art Un	nit: _2871	Status of Appl	icati	on:						
Tentative Participants: (1) Michael E. Monaco	(2)							<u>.</u>		
(3)	(4)	<del></del>				•		<del></del>		
Proposed Date of Interview: November 30, 2004	•	Proposed T	ime:	2:0	0 P	M <sub>\</sub>				
(1) [ ] Telephonic (2) [XXX] Personal	(3) [ ]	Video Confe	renc	e						
Exhibit To Be Shown or Demonstrated: [XXX] Y		] NO								
If yes, provide brief description: Figure 1 of Mc	oon vs. Applican	ts' claimed an	<u>i dis</u>	closed 1	ip¹.		-			
Issues To Be Discussed										
Issues Claims/Fig. #s (Rej., Obj., etc)	Prior Art	]	Disc	cussed Agreed		eed	Not Agreed			
(1)			[	]	[	]	[	1		
(2)		<del></del>	[	]	[	]	[	]		
(3)			[ .	3	[	)	[	)		
(4)	•	<u> </u>	[	1	[	1	ĺ	]		
[ ] Continuation Sheet Attached			•							
Brief Description of Arguments to be Presented:										
Transfer Manne of the Removed to no 1 1 and 100 and 10										
An interview was conducted on the above-identifi	ied application	on						•		
NOTE: This form should be completed by applicant and § 713.01). This application will not be delayed from issue be interview. Therefore, applicant is advised to file soon as possible	submitted to th	e examiner in ant's failure 1	o su	bmit a v	written	recor	d of th	nis		
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature)		Examinet/SPI	Sig	nature)						